

The California Experience Following Implementation of Minimum Ratios in 1999

Joanne Spetz, Ph.D.

University of California, San Francisco

**Center for California Health Workforce
Studies & School of Nursing**

This presentation will...

- **Describe the process that resulted after the ratio legislation passed**
- **Examine the experience of the first year of implementation**
- **Suggest directions for future research, regulation, and legislation**

AB 394 was signed in October 1999

- **Department of Health Services (CDHS) required to establish minimum licensed-nurse-to-patient ratios for each type of hospital unit**
 - **RNs and LVNs included**
- **Unlicensed personnel are prohibited from performing certain tasks**

Stakeholders submitted suggestions to CDHS

- **California Healthcare Association (hospital group) suggested 1 nurse to 10 patients in medical-surgical**
- **Service Employees International Union suggested 1 nurse to 4 patients in medical-surgical**
- **California Nurses Association suggested 1 RN to 3 patients in medical-surgical**

After much work by CDHS...

- **Proposed ratios were announced in January 2002**
 - **Medical-surgical ratio begins at 1:6**
 - **Medical-surgical ratio transitions to 1:5 after one year**
- **Governor Davis announced a \$60 million initiative to expand the supply of nurses on the same day**

Predicted per-hospital cost of minimum ratio proposals

Source of data	Cost of initial ratios	Cost per discharge
OSHPD data	\$57,540,000	\$19.18
DHS survey data	\$266,729,000	\$88.90

There were approximately 3 million general acute-care discharges in 2001. The estimates from OSHPD data are a “lower bound”.

Source: Spetz’s calculations from OSHPD data and from Kravitz, Sauve, et al.

Hospital responses to ratio legislation

- **Most hospitals followed California Hospital Association opposition**
- **Kaiser Foundation Hospitals established agreement with SEIU that embraced SEIU proposed ratios**
- **Some hospitals already were staffing better than the final minimum ratios**

This presentation will...

- Describe the process that resulted after the ratio legislation passed
- **Examine the experience of the first year of implementation**
- Suggest directions for future research, regulation, and legislation

The legal battle

- **CHA filed lawsuit on December 30, 2003**
 - **Claim: requiring ratios “at all times” was unreasonable due to staff breaks**
 - **CDHS argued that difficulty meeting this regulation was not reason to eliminate it**
 - **CHA lost this suit**

The legal battle

- **Governor S. issued emergency order to delay 1:5 medical-surgical ratio on November 4, 2004**
 - **California Nurses Association sued against the emergency order**
 - **CDHS argued that difficulty meeting this regulation was reason to eliminate it**
 - **CDHS lost this suit**

Hospitals may request waivers

- In first quarter:
 - 60 waiver requests
 - 23 approved
 - 29 denied
 - 8 unnecessary
 - Nearly all rural hospitals that requested waivers received them

Enforcement mechanisms are weak

- **CDHS cannot fine hospitals**
 - Violations require submission of plan for remedy
- **Medicare and Medicaid require compliance with state laws and regulations**
 - These programs can audit records
 - Payments can be revoked retroactively
- **California's malpractice cap (\$250,000) does not apply in cases of negligence**
 - Willfully violating regulations constitutes negligence

Are hospitals meeting the regulations?

- **First quarter of ratios...**
 - **49 complaints**
 - **2 citations, requiring action plan for remedy**
 - **68 self-reported violations**
- **Los Angeles Times reported that 15 of 28 hospitals inspected January-October 2004 did not meet ratios**

Reduced access to care due to ratios?

- No reports of permanent bed closures thus far in California
- Statewide, one county may have had a permanent increase in emergency room diversions
 - An emergency room closed recently in that county

What about hospital closures?

- In January 2004, Santa Teresita Hospital announced closure
 - They claimed the ratios caused the closure
- Former employees said the hospital was meeting the ratios without difficulty
- Net income in 2002: **-\$4,758,911**
 - Equity in 2002: **-\$9,137,154**

Substitution of staff

- **Stanford issued layoff notices to 113 nursing aides in advance of the ratios**
- **Reduction of EMT staff in emergency rooms**

More power to the nurses

- **Some CNOs are glad to have upper management forced to provide more funding for nurse staffing**
- **Nurses can close a unit to admissions if additional staff are not available**

-
- **What about the patients?**

This presentation will...

- **Describe the process that resulted after the ratio legislation passed**
- **Examine the experience of the first year of implementation**
- **Suggest directions for future research, regulation, and legislation**

Has staffing increased?

- **Many hospitals were staffing at the minimum level before January 1, 2004**
 - **Are hospitals still using their patient classification systems?**
 - **Are support staff positions being eliminated?**
 - **Are staff simply moving shift-to-shift?**
- **Is staffing rising at the cost of access to care?**

Are nurses changing jobs in ways that affect the quality distribution?

- School class-size reduction is analogous
 - Implemented in 1996-1997
- Demand for teachers rose
- Teachers moved from poor, difficult schools to wealthy schools
- Students in advantaged schools did better
- Students in disadvantaged schools did worse

Will there be improvements in quality of care?

- None of the studies of staffing and quality identify the “right” ratio
 - CDHS may have targeted too high or low
- Organizational culture is known to affect quality of care
 - Are ratios changing culture for the better?
- Research on the effects won’t be available for two or more years

What can we recommend to other states?

- It's too early to weigh benefits and costs of ratios because benefits cannot be measured yet
- Ratios provide a blunt instrument to change staffing
 - Other approaches might have advantages
 - Compliance with flexible regulations is a problem
- The supply of nurses must be increased
 - Even without ratios, there is a long-term shortage

Visit us on the web!

<http://futurehealth.ucsf.edu>

<http://www.saidin.com/joanne>